

JUDGE'S BALLOT – WEST POINT

— ● —

INSTRUCTIONS: (1) First, rate the effectiveness of each speaker as a debater. (2) Second, rate the effectiveness of the two debaters as a team. (3) The team effectiveness score is **NOT** an average of individual speaker's score. The team score should determine the decision. (4) Do not discuss your judgment of this debate until the completion of the seeding rounds. (5) Make relevant comments on sheets provided for this purpose.

POINT SCALE: Superior, 50-41; Excellent, 40-31; Good, 30-21. Do not rate below 21, it being assumed that any team which is representing a District is at least above the average. This scale is to be used in evaluating both individual and team performances.

FILE COPY



Affirmative.....	(School)	Negative.....	(School)
1st.....	2nd.....	1st.....	2nd.....
(name)	(name)	(name)	(name)
Score.....	Score.....	Score.....	Score.....
Team Score.....		Team Score.....	

Decision

In my opinion, the better debating was done by.....
(School) (side)

Signed.....

AFFIRMATIVE COPY

Affirmative..... Negative.....
(School) (School)
1st..... 2nd.....
(name) (name) (name) (name)
Score..... Score.....
Team Score..... Team Score.....

Decision

In my opinion, the better debating was done by.....
(School) (side)

Signed.....

NEGATIVE COPY



Affirmative.....	Negative.....
(School)		(School)	
1st.....	2nd.....	1st.....	2nd.....
(name)	(name)	(name)	(name)
Score.....	Score.....	Score.....	Score.....
Team Score.....		Team Score.....	

Decision

In my opinion, the better debating was done by.....
(School) (side)

Signed.....

AFFIRMATIVE TEAM COMMENTS

--●--

NEGATIVE TEAM COMMENTS

—●—